

# POLICY ON PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### GLASS WALL SYSTEMS (INDIA) LIMITED

#### Policy on Performance Evaluation of Board of Directors

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

This policy has been formulated in terms of the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ("SEBI LODR Regulations") with respect to Board evaluation i.e., evaluation of the performance of:

- (i) the Board of directors (the "Board") as a whole,
- (ii) Individual directors (including independent directors and Chairperson) and
- (iii) Various Committees of the Board.

The provisions also specify responsibilities of various persons / committees for conduct of such evaluation and certain disclosure requirements as a part of the listed entity's corporate governance obligations.

The Board of Glass Wall Systems (India) Limited ("Company") has on the basis of recommendation of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee ('NRC'), adopted this Board of Directors' Evaluation Policy ("the Policy") on August 5, 2025, to comply with the various provisions under SEBI LODR Regulations and the Companies Act, 2013. The Policy is also based on the SEBI circular dated January 5, 2017, which provides further clarity on the process of board evaluation ("SEBI Guidance Note").

#### **PURPOSE & SCOPE:**

The purpose and scope of this policy is to establish the process for effective evaluation of performance of the Board of Directors of the Company, the Committees thereof and individual Directors including the Chairperson of the Board of Directors (collectively called "**Performance Evaluation**").

The Board performance evaluation is designed to:

- review the pre-determined role of the Board and individual Directors;
- annually assess how well directors are discharging their responsibilities;
  - a) collectively by assessing the Board's effectiveness; and
  - b) individually by assessing the quality of a Directors' contribution to board discussions, business proposals and corporate governance responsibilities;
- evaluate the Directors' confidence in the integrity of the Company, the quality of the discussions at Board
  meetings, the credibility of the reports and information they receive, the level of interpersonal cohesion
  between the Board members and the degree of Board knowledge;

#### PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROCESS

The Performance Evaluation shall be carried out annually against the parameters indicated in the respective questionnaires. The Performance Evaluation process involves the following steps:-

- i. Identification of areas for performance evaluation;
- ii. Formulating a questionnaire on the areas for performance evaluation;
- iii. Obtaining responses of individual directors to the questionnaire on a rating scale;
- iv. Analysing the responses to the questionnaire;
- v. Reporting the findings to the Board.

The Board shall review the reports and findings of the above process and develop an action plan for implementation.

#### RESPONSIBILITY OF BOARD / INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS

It shall be the duty of the Board, who shall be supported by the Management to organize the evaluation process and accordingly conclude the steps required to be taken.

In conformity with the requirement of the Act, the performance evaluation of all the directors shall be done by the entire Board of Directors, excluding the director being evaluated.

Independent Directors, in their separate meeting shall:

- (a) review the performance of non-independent directors and the Board as a whole;
- (b) review the performance of the Chairperson of the Company, taking into account the views of executive directors and non-executive directors;
- (c) assess the quality, quantity and timeliness of flow of information between the Company management and the Board that is necessary for the Board to effectively and reasonably perform their duties.

#### **EVALUATION FACTORS**

In respect of each of the evaluation factors, various aspects have been provided to assist with the evaluation process in respect of performance of Board itself, and of its committees and individual directors as such evaluation factors may vary in accordance with their respective functions and duties.

Evaluation of Independent Director shall be carried on by the entire Board in the same way as it is done for the Executive Directors of the Company except the Director being evaluated.

Appraisal of each Director of the Company shall be based on the criteria as mentioned herein below:

Performance	Rating
Outstanding	5
Exceeds Expectation	4
Meets Expectation	3
Needs Improvement	2
Poor	1

The Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following parameters for the purpose of evaluating the performance of a particular director:

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of the entire Board by Independent Directors are set out below:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Ratings	Remarks
1	Structure of the Board:		
	i. Competency of directors:		
	Whether the Board, as a whole, has directors with a proper mix		
	of competencies to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	ii. Experience of directors:		

Sr. No.	Particulars	Ratings	Remarks
	Whether the Board, as a whole, has directors with enough		
	experience to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	iii. Mix of qualifications:		
	Whether the Board, as a whole, has directors with a proper mix		
	of qualifications to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	iv. Diversity in Board under various parameters:		
	Gender/ background/ competence/ experience etc Whether		
	there is sufficient diversity in the Board on the aforesaid		
	parameters.		
	v. Appointment to the Board:		
	Whether the process of appointment to the Board of directors		
	is clear and transparent and includes provisions to consider		
	diversity of thought, experience, knowledge, perspective and		
	gender in the Board of directors.		
2	Meetings of the Board		
	i. Regularity of meetings:		
	Whether meetings are being held on a regular basis.		
	ii. Frequency		
	1. Whether the Board meets frequently.		
	2. Whether the frequency of such meetings is enough		
	for the Board to undertake its duties properly.  iii. Logistics		
	iii. Logistics  Whether the logistics for the meeting is being handled		
	properly- venue, format, timing, etc.		
	iv. Agenda		
	1. Whether the agenda is circulated well before the		
	meeting.		
	2. Whether the agenda has all relevant information to		
	take decision on the matter.		
	3. Whether the quality of agenda and Board papers is up		
	to the mark (explains issues properly, not overly		
	lengthy, etc.).		
	4. Whether outstanding items of previous meetings are		
	followed-up and taken up in subsequent agendas.		
	5. Whether the time allotted for every item (especially		
	substantive items) in the agenda of the meeting is		
	sufficient for adequate discussions on the subject.		
	6. Whether the Board is able to finish discussion and		
	decision on all agenda items in the meetings.		
	7. Whether adequate and timely inputs are taken from		
	the Board members prior to setting of the agenda for		
	the meeting.		
	8. Whether the agenda includes adequate information on Committee's activities.		
	v. Discussions and dissent:		

Sr. No.	Particu	lars	Ratings	Remarks
	1.	Whether the Board discusses every issue	8	
		comprehensively and depending on the importance		
		of the subject.		
	2.	Whether the environment of the meeting induces free		
		flowing discussions, healthy debate and contribution		
		by everyone without any fear or fervour.		
	3.	Whether the discussions generally add value to the		
		decision making.		
	4.	Whether the Board tends towards groupthink and		
		whether critical and dissenting suggestions are		
		welcomed.		
	5.	Whether all members actively participate in the		
		discussions.		
	6.	Whether overall, the Board functions constructively		
		as a team.		
	vi.	Recording of minutes:		
	1.	Whether the minutes are being recorded properly		
		clearly, completely, accurately and consistently.		
	2.	Whether the minutes are approved properly in		
		accordance with set procedures.		
	3.	Whether the minutes are timely circulated to all the		
		Board members.		
	4.	Whether dissenting views are recorded in the minutes		
3	Functio	ons of the Board		
	i.	Role and responsibilities of the Board:		
		Whether the same are clearly documented.		
	ii.	Strategy and performance evaluation:		
	1.	Whether significant time of the Board is being		
		devoted to management of current and potential		
		strategic issues.		
	2.	Whether various scenario planning is used to		
		evaluate strategic risks.		
	3.	Whether the Board overall reviews and guides		
		corporate strategy, major plans of action, risk policy,		
		annual budgets and business plans, sets performance		
		objectives, monitored implementation and corporate		
		performance and oversees major capital		
		expenditures, acquisitions and divestments.		

Sr. No.	Particu	lars	Ratings	Remarks
	iii.	Governance and compliance	_	
	1.	Whether adequate time of the Board is being devoted		
		to analyse and examine governance and compliance		
		issues.		
	2.	Whether the Board monitors the effectiveness of its		
		governance practices and makes changes as needed.		
	3.	Whether the Board ensures integrity of the entity's		
		accounting and financial reporting systems,		
		including the independent audit and that appropriate		
		systems of control are in place, in particular, systems		
		for risk management, financial and operational		
		control and compliance with the law and relevant		
		standards.		
	4.	Whether the Board oversees the process of disclosure		
		and communications		
	5.	Whether the Board evaluates and analyses the		
		compliance certificate from the Auditors / practicing		
		Company Secretaries regarding compliance of		
		conditions of corporate governance.		
	iv.	Evaluation of Risks:		
	1.	Whether the Board undertakes a review of the high-		
		risk issues impacting the organization regularly.		
	2.	In assessment of risks, whether it is ensured that,		
		while rightly encouraging positive thinking, these do		
		not result in over-optimism that either leads to		
		significant risks not being recognised or exposes the		
		entity to excessive risk.		
	v.	Grievance redressal for Investors:		
	Whethe	r the Board regularly reviews the grievance redressal		
	mechan	ism of investors, details of grievances received,		
	dispose	d of and those remaining unresolved.		
	vi.	Conflict of interest:		
	1.	Whether the Board monitors and manages potential		
		conflicts of interest of management, members of the		
		Board of directors and shareholders, including		
		misuse of corporate assets and abuse in related party		
		transactions.		
	2.	Whether a sufficient number of non-executive		
		members of the Board of directors capable of		
		exercising independent judgement are assigned to		
		tasks, where there is a potential for conflict of		
		interest.		
	vii.	1 0		
	1.	Whether the decision making process of the Board is		
		adequate to assess creation of stakeholder value.		
	2.	Whether the Board has mechanism in place to		
		communicate and engage with various stakeholders.		

Sr. No.	Particulars	Ratings	Remarks
	3. Whether the Board acts on a fully informed basis, in		
	good faith, with due diligence and care, with high		
	ethical standards and in the best interest of the entity		
	and the stakeholders.		
	4. Whether the Board treats shareholders and		
	stakeholders fairly where decisions of the Board of		
	directors may affect different shareholder/		
	stakeholder groups differently.		
	viii. Corporate culture and values:		
	Whether the Board sets a corporate culture and the values by		
	which executives throughout a group shall behave.		
	ix. Review of Board evaluation:		
	Whether the Board monitors and reviews the Board evaluation		
	framework.		
	x. Facilitation of Independent Directors:		
	Whether the Board facilitates the Independent Directors to		
	perform their role effectively as a member of the Board of directors and also a member of a committee of Board of		
	directors and also a member of a committee of Board of directors and any criticism by such directors is taken		
	constructively.		
4	Board and management		
4	i. Evaluation of performance of the		
	management and feedback:		
	1. Whether the Board evaluates and monitors		
	management, regularly and fairly and provides		
	constructive feedback and strategic guidance.		
	2. Whether the measures used are broad enough to		
	monitor performance of the management.		
	3. Whether the management's performance is		
	benchmarked against industry peers.		
	4. Whether remuneration of the management is in line		
	with its performance and with industry peers.		
	5. Whether remuneration of the Board and the		
	management is aligned with the longer-term interests		
	of the entity and its shareholders.		
	6. Whether the Board selects, compensates, monitors		
	and when necessary, replaces key managerial		
	personnel based on such evaluation.		
	7. Whether the Board 'steps back' to assist executive		
	management by challenging the assumptions		
	underlying strategy, strategic initiatives, risk		
	appetite, exposures and the key areas of the entity's		
	focus.		
	ii. Independence of the management from the		
	Board:		
	Whether the level of independence of the management		
	from the Board is adequate.		

Sr. No.	Particulars	Ratings	Remarks
	iii. Access of the management to the Board and		
	Board access to the management:		
	Whether the Board and the management can actively		
	access each other and exchange information.		
	iv. Secretarial support:		
	Whether adequate secretarial and logistical support is		
	available for conducting Board meetings.		
	v. Fund availability:		
	Whether sufficient funds are made available to the Board		
	for conducting its meeting effectively, seeking expert		
	advice E.g. Legal, accounting, etc.		
	vi. Succession plan:		
	Whether an appropriate and adequate succession plan is		
	in place and is being reviewed and overseen regularly by		
	the Board.		
5	Professional development		
	i. Whether adequate induction and professional		
	development programmes are made available to		
	new and old directors.		
	ii. Whether continuing directors are provided		
	training to ensure that the members of the Board		
	of directors are kept up to date.		

### **COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD**

The Board has constituted the following committees:

- Audit Committee;
- Nomination and Remuneration Committee;
- Stakeholders Relationship Committee;
- Risk Management Committee;
- IPO Committee; and
- CSR Committee.

For evaluating the performance of each committee, the Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following aspects as set out below:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Rating	Remarks
	Mandate and composition:		
	Whether the mandate, composition and working procedures of		
1	committees of the Board of directors is clearly defined and disclosed.		
	<b>Effectiveness of the Committee:</b>		
	Whether the Committee has fulfilled its functions as assigned by the		
2	Board and laws as may be applicable.		
	Structure of the Committee and meetings:		
	i. Whether the Committees have been structured properly		
	and regularly meetings are being held.		
	ii. In terms of discussions, agenda, etc. of the meetings,		
	similar criteria may be laid down as specified above for		
3	the entire Board.		

	Independence of the Committee from the Board:	
	Whether adequate independence of the Committee is ensured from the	
4	Board.	
	Contribution to decisions of the Board:	
	Whether the Committee's recommendations contribute effectively to	
5	decisions of the Board.	

## INDIVIDUAL DIRECTORS (INCLUDING MANAGING DIRECTOR, INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, NON-INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, ETC.)

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of Individual Directors, in which the concerned director being evaluated shall not be included, are set out below:

Name of Director being assessed:

Sr. No.	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Remarks/ Comments
1	General:	Rating	Comments
1	a. Qualifications Professional qualifications of the member		
	<b>b. Experience</b> Details of prior experience of the member, especially the experience relevant to the entity.		
	c. Knowledge and Competency  i. How the person fares across different competencies as identified for effective functioning of the entity and the Board (The entity may list various competencies and mark all directors against every such competency)  ii. Whether the person has sufficient understanding and knowledge of the entity and the sector in which it operates		
	<b>d. Fulfilment of functions:</b> Whether the person understands and fulfils the functions assigned to him/her by the Board and the law.		
	e. Ability to function as a team: Whether the person is able to function as an effective team member.		
	<b>f. Initiative:</b> Whether the person actively takes initiative with respect to various areas.		
	g. Availability and attendance: Whether the person is available for meetings of the Board and attends the meeting regularly and timely, without delay.		
	h. Commitment: Whether the person is adequately committed to the Board and the entity		
	i. Contribution: Whether the person contributed effectively to the entity and in the Board meetings.		

Sr. No.	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Remarks/ Comments
51.110.	j. Integrity:	Rating	Comments
	Whether the person demonstrates highest level of integrity (including		
	conflict of interest disclosures, maintenance of Confidentiality, etc.)		
2	Additional criteria for Independent Director		
	a. Independence:		
	Whether person is independent from the entity and other directors and there is no conflict of interest.		
	b. Independent views and judgement:		
	Whether the person exercises his/ her own judgement and voices		
	opinion freely.		
3	Additional criteria for Chairperson		
3	a. Effectiveness of leadership and ability to steer the meetings:		
	Whether the Chairperson displays efficient leadership, is open-minded,		
	decisive, courteous, displays professionalism, able to coordinate the		
	discussion, etc. and is overall able to steer the meeting effectively.		
	b. Impartiality:		
	Whether the Chairperson is impartial in conducting discussions,		
	seeking views and dealing with dissent etc.		
	c. Commitment:		
	Whether the Chairperson is sufficiently committed to the Board and its		
	meetings.		
	d. Ability to keep shareholders' interests in mind:		
	Whether the Chairperson is able to keep shareholders' interest in mind		
	during discussions and decisions.		

#### **REVIEW**

The "Nomination and Remuneration Committee" may amend the Policy, if required, to ascertain its appropriateness as per the needs of the Company. The Board may amend the Policy, if required, to ascertain its appropriateness as per the needs of the Company.

#### **DISCLOSURE**

The Company will disclose details of its Board Performance Evaluation processes in its Board's Report. The Board's report containing such statement shall indicate the manner in which formal annual evaluation has been made by the Board of its own performance and that of the committees of the Board and individual directors of the Company.